

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS · P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,791	07/03/2003	Jiang Hsieh	15-CT	1516
. 75	90 07/27/2005		EXAMINER	
Patrick W. Rashe			CHURCH, CRAIG E	
Armstrong Teasdale LLP One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
St. Louis, MO	•		2882	
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			524
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/613,791	HSIEH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Craig E. Church	2882	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl 1.1 the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute 1.2 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a r y within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 M</u> 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b) □ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the practice o	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matt	·	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 22-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject. 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyar tion is required if the drawing	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A crity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
	•		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/613,791

Art Unit: 2882

Claims 22-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for calcification scoring from CT scout images, does not reasonably provide enablement for calcification scoring from any and all other types of images. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Applicant's arguments filed May 9, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. While applicant asserts that he has provided the best mode of carrying out his invention, this is not germane to the outstanding rejection since the patented claims have not been rejected.

More to the point is that the original disclosure fails to teach that applicant's particular method of calcification scoring can and should be applied to any and all images in addition to CT scout images as recited in rejected claims 22-32. The "coronary images" referred to by applicant that appear on page 1 lines 2 and 3 of the specification are delineated therein as subtypes of computer tomography images, and applicant's assertion that they are something else misrepresents the patent.

Similarly, applicant points to lines 18-25 of page 8 of the specification which discuss figures 6 and 7 and concludes therefrom that this is a reference to images in general, but the brief description of the drawings reveals that figures 6 and 7 depict data from *scout scans*, and applicant's argument is faulty.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2882

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Church at telephone number (571) 272-2488.

Chang & Church

Craig E. Church Senior Examiner Art Unit 2882